arXiv:1410.8344v2 [math-ph] 28 Dec 2014

Hydrogen atom in de Sitter spaces

December 30, 2014

O.V. Veko[1], K.V. Kazmerchuk[2], E.M. Ovsiyuk[3], V.M. Red'kov[4], A.M. Ishkhanyan[5]

Abstract

The hydrogen atom theory is developed for the de Sitter and anti de Sitter spaces on the basis of the Klein-Gordon-Fock wave equation in static coordinates. In both models, after separation of the variables, the problem is reduced to the general Heun equation, a second order linear differential equation having four regular singular points. A qualitative examination shows that the energy spectrum for the hydrogen atom in the de Sitter space should be quasi-stationary, and the atom should be unstable. We derive an approximate expression for energy levels within the quasi-classical approach and estimate the probability of decay of the atom. A similar analysis shows that in the anti de Sitter model the hydrogen atom should be stable in the quantum-mechanical sense. Using the quasi-classical approach, we derive approximate formulas for energy levels for this case as well. Finally, we present the extension to the case of a spin 1/2 particle for both de Sitter models. This extension leads to complicated differential equations with 8 singular points.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Gp, 02.40.Ky, 03.65Ge, 04.62.+v
MSC 2010: 33E30, 34B30

1 Introduction

Starting from the pioneering work by Dirac [1], a steady attention is devoted to the de Sitter geometrical models in the context of quantum theory for curved space-time (see, for instance, [2, 3]). In particular, the problem of description of the particles with different spins on such curved backgrounds has a long history (see [1]–[42]). The most of the work done, however, concerns the field theory on the background of such geometrical models. In the present paper, we address the quantum mechanics and examine the influence of the de Sitter geometries on the behavior of the hydrogen atom. Since for the de Sitter spaces, when they are parameterized by static coordinates, no nonrelativistic Schrödiner equation is known, we have to employ the relativistic quantum mechanical approach, the Klein-Gordon-Fock scalar equation and the Dirac spinor equation. We consider these equations for both de Sitter (dS) and anti de Sitter (AdS) geometries.

[1]Kalinkovichi Gymnasium, Belarus,vekoolga@mail.ru
[2]Mosyr State Pedagogical University, Belarus, kristinash2@mail.ru
[3]Mosyr State Pedagogical University, Belarus, e.ovsiyuk@mail.ru
[4]B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, NAS of Belarus, redkov@dragon.bas-net.by
[5] Institute for Physical Research, Armenian Academy of Sciences, aishkhanyan@gmail.com

In dS space, we first analyze the expression for the classical squared radial momentum $p_r^2(r)$. We show that the equation $p_r^2 = 0$ may have three positive and one negative roots, which correspond to a particle moving in a potential well with a domain forbidden for classical motion and a domain permitted for classical motion. In other words, in the de Sitter geometry the hydrogen atom should be unstable in the quantum-mechanical sense. The corresponding radial equation is reduced to the general Heun equation having four regular singular points [45], [46]. Studying the solutions of this equation, we are led to a fourth order polynomial equation the roots of which allow approximate calculation of the energy levels using the WKB-method. The probability of decay of the atom is then estimated.

A similar treatment is presented for the case of the anti de Sitter model. The classical equation $p_r^2 = 0$ is again reduced to a fourth order polynomial equation, which, however, this time may have two positive and two negative roots. This configuration corresponds to a particle moving in a perfect potential well without tunneling. In other words, this means that in the AdS-model the hydrogen atom is a stable quantum-mechanical system. The corresponding radial equation is again reduced to the general Heun equation having four singular points. Discussing the solutions of this equation, we again consider a relevant fourth order polynomial equation, the roots of which provide approximate expressions for energy levels using the WKB-method.

When treating the hydrogen atom using the Dirac equation, the radial differential equations arising after separation of the variables are much more complicated compared with the ones given by the scalar theory. Here, the problem is reduced to a differential equation with 8 singular points (note that the Dirac equation with Coulomb potential in hyperbolic Lobachevsky and spherical Riemamnn models is reduced to differential equations with 5 singular points [47]). However, the physical situation here is expected to be qualitatively similar to that arising in scalar models, because the influence of any special space-time geometry is universal and, in principle, it should not depend on the spin of a quantum-mechanical particle. The dependence on the value of the spin should reveal only technical differences.

2 Separation of the variables in dS space

If the origin of the coordinate system coincides with the location of the point electric charge, the Maxwell equations provide the 4-potential $A_\alpha = (e/r, 0, 0, 0)$ for the Coulomb field. We consider the conventional generally covariant Klein-Gordon-Fock equation

$$\left[\left(i\hbar\nabla_\alpha + \frac{e}{c}A_\alpha \right) \left(i\hbar\nabla^\alpha + \frac{e}{c}A^\alpha \right) - M^2c^2 \right] \Phi = 0 \tag{1}$$

in the de Sitter space model given by the static metrics [2]

$$dS^2 = \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2\right), \quad r \in [0, \rho) \, . \tag{2}$$

Note that in these coordinates no nonrelativistic Schrödinger-type equation is known, so only relativistic description based on either scalar Klein-Gordon-Fock equation or spinor Dirac equation is possible.

After separation of the variables with the help of the substitution $\Phi = e^{-i\epsilon t/\hbar} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) f(r)$, we arrive at the radial equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2} f + \frac{2(1 - 2r^2/\rho^2)}{r(1 - r^2/\rho^2)} \frac{d}{dr} f + \left[\frac{(\epsilon + e^2/r)^2}{c^2\hbar^2} \frac{1}{(1 - r^2/\rho^2)^2} - \left(\frac{M^2c^2}{\hbar^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} \right) \frac{1}{1 - r^2/\rho^2} \right] f = 0 \, . \tag{3}$$

The Hamilton-Jakobi equation standing for the corresponding classical problem reads

$$g^{00}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x^0}-\frac{e}{c}A_0\right)^2+g^{rr}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial r}\right)^2+g^{\theta\theta}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}\right)^2+g^{\phi\phi}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi}\right)^2-M^2c^2=0\ . \tag{4}$$

Since the trajectory should be flat, one can fix the coordinate $\theta=\pi/2$, and the action function is to be searched in the form

$$S(t,r,\phi)=-\epsilon\ t+L\phi+\int p(r)dr\ ,\quad L=\frac{\partial S}{\partial \phi}\ . \tag{5}$$

Eq. (4) then gives the following expression for the squared radial momentum:

$$p_r^2=\frac{(\epsilon+e^2/r)^2}{c^2}\ \frac{1}{(1-r^2/\rho^2)^2}-\left(M^2c^2+\frac{L^2}{r^2}\right)\ \frac{1}{1-r^2/\rho^2}\ . \tag{6}$$

Thus, the classical problem of a particle in the Coulomb field is reduced to the integral[6] $\int p_r dr$, where p_r is given by Eq. (6), whereas the quantum-mechanical problem is reduced to the differential equation (3).

3 Qualitative discussion

To study the expression (6) for the squared radial momentum, it is convenient to compare with the flat Minkowski case, for which the squared momentum reads

$$p_r^2=\frac{(\epsilon+e^2/r)^2}{c^2}-\left(M^2c^2+\frac{L^2}{r^2}\right). \tag{7}$$

Consider first the free particle motion. In the Minkowski space p_r^2 vanishes at two points:

$$r_0=\pm\sqrt{\frac{L^2c^2}{\epsilon^2-M^2c^4}}\ . \tag{8}$$

Accordingly, the classical motion is possible in the domain where $p_r^2>0$, and at $\epsilon>Mc^2$ such a domain exists.

For a free particle in dS-space, at the origin and at the horizon, the momentum (6) behaves as

$$p_r^2(r\to 0)\sim-\frac{L^2}{r^2}\to-\infty\ ,\qquad p_r^2(r\to\rho)\ \sim\ \frac{\epsilon^2}{c^2(1-\frac{r^2}{\rho^2})^2}\to+\infty\ . \tag{9}$$

We find the vanishing points of momentum from the fourth order polynomial equation $p_r^2=0$:

$$r_0=\pm\rho\sqrt{-\frac{A}{2}\pm\sqrt{\frac{A^2}{4}+\frac{L^2}{M^2c^2\rho^2}}}\ ,\qquad A=\frac{\epsilon^2-M^2c^4}{M^2c^4}+\frac{L^2}{M^2c^2\rho^2}\ . \tag{10}$$

Two of these roots are complex conjugate. Two others are real-valued, one of which is negative and another one is positive. We conclude that the character of the classical motion of a free particle in the de Sitter space is much the same as in the flat Minkowski space.

[6]This integral is calculated in elliptic functions.

Now, consider the case of the Coulomb potential. For the particle on the background of the flat Minkowski space the behavior of the momentum near the singular points is

$$p_r^2(r\to 0)\sim -\frac{L^2-e^4/c^2}{r^2}\to -\infty\ ,\qquad p_r^2(r\to\infty)\sim\frac{\epsilon^2-M^2c^4}{c^2}=\text{const.} \tag{11}$$

The turning points are given as

$$r_0=\frac{c^2}{\epsilon^2-M^2c^4}\ \left[\ -\frac{e^2\epsilon}{c^2}\pm\sqrt{\frac{e^4\epsilon^2}{c^4}+\left(L^2-\frac{e^4}{c^2}\right)\frac{\epsilon^2-M^2c^2}{c^2}}\ \right]. \tag{12}$$

If $(L^2-e^4/c^2)>0$ and $\epsilon<Mc^2$, with an additional requirement for the term under the square root to be positive, according to (12) we have two positive roots and two negative (nonphysical) ones. The plot of p_r^2 is shown schematically in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Coulomb problem in the Minkowski space.

For the de Sitter space, at the origin and at the horizon the momentum p_r^2 behaves as

$$p_r^2(r\to 0)\sim -\frac{L^2-e^4/c^2}{r^2}\to -\infty\ ,\qquad p_r^2(r\to\rho)\sim\frac{(\epsilon+e^2/\rho)^2}{c^2\left(1-r^2/\rho^2\right)^2}\to+\infty\ . \tag{13}$$

Furthermore, by Vieta's theorem, for the product of the roots $r_1,...,r_4$ of the equation $p_r^2=0$ holds

$$r_1r_2r_3r_4=-\frac{(L^2-e^4/c^2)\rho^2}{M^2c^2}<0\ . \tag{14}$$

From here, we conclude that the following three variants for the signs of the roots:

$$\text{sign}(r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4)=(-,-,+,+)\ ,\ (-,-,-,-)\ ,\ (+,+,+,+)\ , \tag{15}$$

are excluded. In turn, assuming two real-valued and two conjugate roots, we get the variant $\text{sign}(r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4)=(-,+,z,z^*)$, which has no physical sense. Hence, the relationship $r_1r_2r_3r_4<0$ shows that, assuming all four roots are real, there are only two possibilities:

$$\text{sign}(r_1,r_2,r_3,r_4)=(-,-,-,+)\ ,\ (-,+,+,+)\ . \tag{16}$$

By physical arguments, more interesting is the second case. The schematic behavior of p_r^2 corresponding to this case is shown in Fig. 2. This behavior indicates that we should have a nonstable quantum-mechanical system.



Fig. 2. Coulomb problem in dS space.

Let us discuss in more detail the behavior of p_r at the horizon $r \to \rho$. To do this, we consider the radial velocity measured at the proper time:

$$p_r = Mc\frac{dr}{dS}\ ,\ \ dS = \left(1-\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)cd\tau\ , \qquad V_r^2 = \left(\frac{dr}{d\tau}\right)^2 = \frac{p_r^2}{M^2c^2}\left(1-\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)^2\ , \tag{17}$$

that is

$$V_r = c\sqrt{\frac{(\epsilon+e^2/r)^2}{M^2c^4} - \left(1+\frac{L^2}{M^2c^2r^2}\right)\left(1-\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)}\ . \tag{18}$$

Correspondingly, at the horizon we have

$$V_r = c\frac{\sqrt{(\epsilon+e^2/\rho)^2}}{Mc^2} \quad\Longrightarrow\quad \left|\epsilon+\frac{e^2}{\rho}\right| < Mc^2\ , \tag{19}$$

since we assume that V_r cannot be greater than the speed of the light c.

There is another argumentation, which is more appropriate in the context of quantum-mechanics. Indeed, because the coordinate r involved in the wave equation has no direct metrical sense, instead of it one can use any other coordinate. To find such a more convenient variable, we require the radial momentum in that new variable to be finite at $r \to \rho$. However, $p_r = (\partial S/\partial r)$; therefore, one should perform the following change of the radial variable

$$r \implies r^*\ , \quad \frac{d}{dr} = \frac{1}{1-r^2/\rho^2}\frac{d}{dr^*}\ , \quad r^* = \frac{\rho}{2}\ln\frac{1+r/\rho}{1-r/\rho}\ . \tag{20}$$

The result is

$$p_{r^*} = \frac{d}{dr^*}S = \left(1-\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)\frac{dS}{dr} = \left(1-\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)p_r \implies p_{r^*} \to \frac{(\epsilon+e^2/\rho)^2}{c^2}\ . \tag{21}$$

The corresponding plot of $p_{r^*}^2$ is shown in Fig. 3. This picture is well understood from the physical point of view as one representing a nonstable quantum-mechanical hydrogen atom model in the de Sitter space. We note that one may expect a similar behavior when considering the atom model on the basis of the Dirac equation.



Fig. 3. Coulomb problem in dS space, the coordinate r^*.

4 Reducing the quantum-mechanical radial equation to the general Heun equation

The radial equation (3) is a Fuchsian ordinary linear differential equation having four regular singular points. Using the dimensionless quantities

$$x = \frac{r}{\rho}\,, \qquad \frac{\epsilon\rho}{c\hbar} = E\,, \qquad \frac{e^2}{c\hbar} = \alpha\,, \qquad \frac{M^2c^2\rho^2}{\hbar^2} \Longrightarrow M^2\,, \tag{22}$$

and making the standard substitution $f = x^A\,(1-x)^B\,(1+x)^C\,H(x)$, it is reduced to the general Heun equation for $H(x) = H(-1, q; \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \delta; x)$ written in its canonical form as [45, 46]

$$\frac{d^2H}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{\gamma}{x} + \frac{\delta}{x-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{x+1}\right)\frac{dH}{dx} + \frac{\lambda\beta x - q}{x(x-1)(x+1)}\,H = 0\,, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\gamma = 2 + 2A\,,\ \delta = 1 + 2B\,,\ \epsilon = 1 + 2C, \tag{24}$$

$$\lambda, \beta = \frac{3}{2} + A + B + C \pm \sqrt{-M^2 + \frac{9}{4}}\,, \tag{25}$$

$$q = 2\,(E\alpha - (1+A)(B-C)) \tag{26}$$

with parameters A, B, C given as

$$A = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{(l+1/2)^2 - \alpha^2}\,, \qquad B = \pm\frac{i}{2}\,(E+\alpha)\,, \qquad C = \pm\frac{i}{2}\,(E-\alpha)\,. \tag{27}$$

Note that the singular point $x = +1$ of the Heun equation (23) is physical, because it represents the de Sitter horizon, while the point $x = -1$ does not belong to the physical domain, the interval $x \in [0, +1)$.

To get solutions vanishing at the origin, we take

$$A = -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{(l+1/2)^2 - \alpha^2}\,. \tag{28}$$

Depending on the signs of B and C, we have four choices, $(+,+)$, $(-,-)$, $(+,-)$ and $(-,+)$, each leading to a different particular solution. The parameters λ, β and q for these solutions read:

$$(+,+) \qquad \lambda, \beta = \frac{3}{2} + A + iE \pm i\sqrt{M^2 - \frac{9}{4}}\,, \qquad q = 2\alpha\,[\,E - i(A+1)\,]\,,$$

$$(-,-) \qquad \lambda,\beta = \frac{3}{2} + A - iE \pm i\sqrt{M^2 - \frac{9}{4}}\,, \qquad q = 2\alpha\,[\,E + i(A+1)\,]\,,$$

$$(+,-) \qquad \lambda,\beta = \frac{3}{2} + A + i\alpha \pm i\sqrt{M^2 - \frac{9}{4}}\,, \qquad q = 2E\,[\,\alpha - i(A+1)\,]\,,$$

$$(-,+) \qquad \lambda,\beta = \frac{3}{2} + A - i\alpha \pm i\sqrt{M^2 - \frac{9}{4}}\,, \qquad q = 2E\,[\,\alpha + i(A+1)\,]\,. \tag{29}$$

Note that by physical arguments we may assume the inequality $M^2 \to (M^2\rho^2c^2/\hbar^2) >> 1$.

We conclude this section by discussing a speculative possibility of getting complex values for energy. This situation is the case if one assumes a possible quantization rule $\lambda = -n$, which may provide polynomial (thus, finite on the interval $x \in [0,+1]$) solutions of Eq. (23). This results in complex energies for the cases $(+,+)$ and $(-,-)$:

$$(+,+)\;\; E = +i\left(\frac{3}{2} + n + A\right) - \sqrt{M^2 - \frac{9}{4}}, \qquad (-,-)\;\; E = -i\left(\frac{3}{2} + n + A\right) + \sqrt{M^2 - \frac{9}{4}}, \tag{30}$$

so that (let $\Gamma = \sqrt{M^2 - 9/4}$)

$$(+,+)\; e^{-i\epsilon t/\hbar} = e^{(ct/\rho)[+\,(3/2+n+A)+i\Gamma]}, \quad (-,-)\; e^{-i\epsilon t/\hbar} = e^{(ct/\rho)[-(3/2+n+A)-i\Gamma]}\,. \tag{31}$$

We note that these factors behave differently at $t \to +\infty$. It seems that more physical is the variant $(-,-)$, since in this case

$$(-,-) \quad \left\{(e^{-i\epsilon t/\hbar})^* e^{-i\epsilon t/\hbar}\right\} = e^{-2(ct/\rho)(3/2+n+A)} \to 0 \;\text{ when }\; t \to +\infty\,. \tag{32}$$

The question concerning the physical meaning of complex values of the energy is open. The influence of the Coulomb potential on this complex-valued spectrum is little (see Eq. (28) for A), and the formulas (31) have no correlation with the known spectrum in the flat Minkowski space.

5 WKB-analysis

To study the problem within the WKB approximation, we assume large ρ and consider the region $r \ll \rho$, where the potential well is located. Expanding the roots $r_1, ..., r_4$ of Eq. (6) in terms of the small parameter ρ^{-1}, we get

$$r_i = r_{0i} + \frac{\Delta_i}{\rho^2}\,, \qquad i = 1,2\,,$$

$$r_{01,02} = \frac{c^2}{M^2c^4 - \epsilon^2}\;\left[\;\frac{e^2\epsilon}{c^2} \pm M\sqrt{\frac{e^4\epsilon^2}{c^4} - \left(L^2 - \frac{e^4}{c^2}\right)\frac{M^2c^2 - \epsilon^2}{c^2}}\;\right] > 0\,,$$

$$\Delta_i = -r_{0i}^2\,(L^2 + M^2c^2r_{0i}^2)\;\left[\;\frac{2e^2\epsilon}{c^2} + 2r_{0i}\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{c^2} - M^2c^2\right)\;\right]^{-1} \tag{33}$$

and

$$r_{3,4} = \pm\rho\sqrt{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{M^2c^4}} - \frac{e^2\epsilon}{M^2c^4 - \epsilon^2}\,, \qquad i = 3,4\,. \tag{34}$$

It is immediately seen that at large curvature radius ρ the region between r_1 and r_2 lays far from $r_3 > 0$ and $r_4 < 0$. Then, rewriting

$$p_r^2 = \frac{1}{r^2\,(1 - r^2/\rho^2)^2}\,\frac{M^2c^2}{\rho^2}\,(r - r_1)(r - r_2)(r - r_3)(r - r_4) \tag{35}$$

and expanding the product $(r-r_3)(r-r_4)$ for $r \ll r_{3,4}$, in virtue of Eq. (34), we obtain the approximate form for p_r in the region $r \ll \rho$, where the potential well is located:

$$p_r \sim Mc\frac{\sqrt{(r-r_1)((r-r_2)}}{r}\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{M^2c^4}-1\right)^{1/2}\left(1+A\,\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right),\;\; A=1-\frac{1}{2(1-\epsilon^2/M^2c^4)}\,. \tag{36}$$

The WKB quantization rule is

$$\int_L p_r dr = 2\pi\hbar(n+1/2)\,. \tag{37}$$

From this, taking p_r according to (36) and choosing the path of integration surrounding the turning points r_1 and r_2, we reduce the problem to calculating the residues just in two points, $r=0$ and $r=\infty$. As a result, we arrive at the equation

$$\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^2}{c^2}-M^2c^2}\;\left[\;\left(\;\sqrt{r_1r_2}+\frac{r_1+r_2}{2}\;\right)\;-\;\frac{A}{\rho^2}r_1r_2\frac{r_1+r_2}{2}\;\right]=i\hbar(n+1/2)\,, \tag{38}$$

from where we get the following approximate formula for energy levels in the de Sitter space:

$$\epsilon=\epsilon_0+\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2}\,,\;\;\epsilon_0=Mc^2\;\left[\;1+\frac{e^4/c^2}{[\hbar(n+1/2)+\sqrt{\hbar^2(l+1/2)^2-e^4/c^2}]^2}\;\right]^{-1/2} \tag{39}$$

with

$$\Delta=\frac{(M^2c^4-\epsilon_0^2)^2}{4e^2M^2c^4}\;\;\left[\;\Delta_1+\Delta_2+\Delta_1\sqrt{\frac{r_{02}}{r_{01}}}+\Delta_2\sqrt{\frac{r_{01}}{r_{02}}}-Ar_{01}r_{02}(r_{01}+r_{02})\;\right], \tag{40}$$

where $\Delta_{1,2}$, $r_{01,02}$ and A are given by above formulas with ϵ replaced by ϵ_0.

In accordance with the behavior of the function p_r^2, the particle can move from the domain between r_1 and r_2 to the domain near the horizon $r\sim\rho$ due to the quantum-mechanical tunneling. The probability of this process is given as

$$W=\exp\;\left(-\frac{2}{\hbar}\int_{r_2}^{r_3}\sqrt{-p_r^2}\;dr\right), \tag{41}$$

from which we obtain a rough estimation $W\sim e^{-2\rho/\lambda}$, where λ is the Compton wavelength.

6 The hydrogen atom in AdS space

We now consider the Coulomb problem in static coordinates of the the anti de Sitter space [2]

$$dS^2=\left(1+\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)dt^2-\left(1+\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right)^{-1}dr^2-r^2(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta d\phi^2),\quad r\in[0,+\infty)\,. \tag{42}$$

From the Klein-Gordon-Fock equation, for the 4-potential $A_\alpha=(e/r,0,0,0)$ we get the radial equation (compare with Eq. (3))

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2}\,f\;+\;\frac{2(1+2r^2/\rho^2)}{r(1+r^2/\rho^2)}\;\frac{d}{dr}\,f\;+$$
$$+\left[\frac{(\epsilon+e^2/r)^2}{c^2\hbar^2}\frac{1}{(1+r^2/\rho^2)^2}-\left(\frac{M^2c^2}{\hbar^2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)\frac{1}{1+r^2/\rho^2}\right]f=0\,. \tag{43}$$

In dimensionless form, using

$$x = \frac{ir}{\rho}\,, \qquad \frac{\epsilon\rho}{c\hbar} = E\,, \qquad \frac{e^2}{c\hbar} = \alpha\,, \qquad \frac{M^2c^2\rho^2}{\hbar^2} \Longrightarrow M^2\,, \tag{44}$$

and applying the substitution $f = x^A\,(x-1)^B\,(x+1)^C\,H$ with parameters

$$A = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{(l+1/2)^2 - \alpha^2}\,, \qquad B = \pm\frac{1}{2}\,(E + i\alpha)\,, \qquad C = \pm\frac{1}{2}\,(E - i\alpha)\,, \tag{45}$$

we reduce Eq. (43) to the general Heun equation for the function $H(-1, q; \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \delta, x)$:

$$\frac{d^2H}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{\gamma}{x} + \frac{\delta}{x-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{x+1}\right)\frac{dH}{dx} + \frac{\lambda\beta x - q}{x(x-1)(x+1)}\,H = 0\,, \tag{46}$$

the parameters of which are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = 2 + 2A\,,\ \delta = 1 + 2B\,,\ \epsilon = 1 + 2C,\\ \lambda, \beta = \frac{3}{2}\ + A + B + C \pm \sqrt{M^2 + \frac{9}{4}}\,,\\ q = -2\,(iE\alpha + (1+A)(B-C))\,, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where the upper sign $(+)$ conventionally stands for λ and the lower sign $(-)$ refers to β. Note that the variation region for the radial variable here is the interval $x \in [0, +i\infty)$. To have finite solutions at the origin, we should use the positive value of A:

$$A = -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{(l+1/2)^2 - \alpha^2} > 0\,. \tag{48}$$

Depending on the signs of B and C, we have four different possibilities: $(+,+)$, $(-,-)$, $(+,-)$ and $(-,+)$, each providing a different particular solution:

$$\begin{aligned} (-,-): &\qquad \lambda = 3/2\ + A - E + \sqrt{M^2 + 9/4}\,, \quad q = -2i\alpha\,[\,E - (A+1)\,]\,,\\ (+,+): &\qquad \lambda = 3/2\ + A + E + \sqrt{M^2 + 9/4}\,, \quad q = -2i\alpha\,[\,E + (A+1)\,]\,,\\ (+,-): &\qquad \lambda = 3/2\ + A + i\alpha + \sqrt{M^2 + 9/4}\,, \quad q = -2E\,[\,i\alpha + (A+1)\,]\,,\\ (-,+): &\qquad \lambda = 3/2\ + A - i\alpha + \sqrt{M^2 + 9/4}\,, \quad q = -2E\,[\,i\alpha - (A+1)\,]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

To choose the appropriate branch, consider the situation without Coulomb field, $\alpha = 0$. The Heun equation (46), after transforming it to the variable $y = x^2$, becomes a hypergeometric equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2f}{dy^2} + \left(\frac{3/2}{y} + \frac{1}{y-1}\right)\frac{df}{dy} + \left[-\frac{1}{4}\,\frac{l(l+1)}{y^2} - \frac{1}{4}\,\frac{E^2}{(y-1)^2} + \right.\\ \left. + \frac{1}{4}\,\frac{E^2 - M^2 + l(l+1)}{y-1} + \frac{1}{4}\,\frac{-E^2 + M^2 - l(l+1)}{y}\right] f = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Using the substitution $f = y^A(y-1)^B\ {}_2F_1(a, b; c; y)$ with $A = +l/2\,,\ B = -E/2$, we construct the solution in terms of the hypergeometric function with the parameters

$$a = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} + l - E + \sqrt{9/4 + M^2}\right)\,,\ \ b = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} + l - E - \sqrt{9/4 + M^2}\right)\,,\ \ c = l + \frac{3}{2}\,. \tag{51}$$

The hypergeometric function reduces to polynomials if $a = -n$ or $b = -n$. The permissible choice is $a = -n$ since then the complete radial function will vanish at infinity owing to the inequality

$$A + B + n = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{3}{2} - \sqrt{9/4 + M^2}\right) < 0\,. \tag{52}$$

The result is the discrete energy spectrum given as

$$E_n = 2n + l + \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{9}{4} + M^2}\ , \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\ . \tag{53}$$

Inspecting now Eqs. (49), we find that this result is recovered, by applying the quantization rule in the form $\lambda = -2n$ with the parameter λ determined by the first line. Thus, the appropriate choice for the signs of A and B is $(-,-)$.

7 Qualitative study of the problem in AdS space

Consider the locations of the turning points of the classical momentum. By Vieta's theorem, the roots of the equation $p_r^2 = 0$ obey the identities

$$r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4 = 0\,, \tag{54}$$

$$r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4 = +\frac{(L^2 - e^4/c^2)\rho^2}{M^2 c^2} > 0\ . \tag{55}$$

The last relation shows that, assuming all four roots real-valued, the variants

$$\mathrm{sign}(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = (-,-,-,+)\ , \qquad \mathrm{sign}(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = (+,+,+,-)\ . \tag{56}$$

are forbidden. Besides, the variants

$$\mathrm{sign}(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = (-,-,-,-)\ , \qquad \mathrm{sign}(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = (+,+,+,+) \tag{57}$$

are also forbidden because of the identity (54). So, we conclude that there exists only one possibility for the real roots' location:

$$\mathrm{sign}(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) = (-,-,+,+)\ , \qquad r_4 > r_3 > 0\ , \tag{58}$$

where r_4, r_3 stand for physical turning points. Note also that the roots $r_1,\ r_2$ can be complex and conjugate:

$$\mathrm{sign}(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) \sim (z, z^*, +, +,)\ , \quad \mathrm{Re}\ z < 0\ . \tag{59}$$

Thus, the qualitative study shows that in AdS space we can expect for the Coulomb problem the situation of a complicated potential well with normal (real) energy spectrum, so that the hydrogen atom is expected to be a stable system in the quantum-mechanical sense.

8 WKB-treatment for AdS space

We use the formal method of analytical continuation, which gives the possibility to get results without repeating much the same calculations:

$$dS \Longrightarrow AdS \qquad \rho \Longrightarrow i\rho\ . \tag{60}$$

The approximate roots r_1, r_2 of the equation $p^2(r) = 0$ in this case read

$$r_i = r_{0i} - \frac{\Delta_i}{\rho^2}\ , \qquad i = 1, 2\ ,$$

$$r_{01,02} = \frac{c^2}{M^2c^4 - \epsilon^2}\left[\frac{e^2\epsilon}{c^2} \pm M\sqrt{\frac{e^4\epsilon^2}{c^4} - \left(L^2 - \frac{e^4}{c^2}\right)\frac{M^2c^2 - \epsilon^2}{c^2}}\right] > 0\ ,$$

$$\Delta_i = -r_{0i}^2\,(L^2 + M^2c^2r_{0i}^2)\,\left[\frac{2e^2\epsilon}{c^2} + 2r_{0i}\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{c^2} - M^2c^2\right)\right]^{-1}\ , \tag{61}$$

and for the roots r_3, r_4 we have

$$r_i = i\rho\left(b_{0i} + \frac{b_1}{i\rho}\right) , \quad i = 3, 4 ,$$
$$b_{03,04} = \pm\sqrt{1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{M^2c^4}} , \quad b_1 = -\frac{e^2\epsilon}{M^2c^4 - \epsilon^2} . \tag{62}$$

Accordingly, the approximate form of p_r in the region $r \ll \rho$ (where the potential well is located) is written as

$$p_r \sim Mc\frac{\sqrt{(r-r_1)((r-r_2)}}{r}\left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{m^2c^4} - 1\right)^{1/2}\left(1 - A\,\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}\right) , \; A = 1 - \frac{1}{2(1-\epsilon^2/M^2c^4)} . \tag{63}$$

The quantization condition is the same as Eq. (37). Choosing the contour of integration surrounding the points r_1 and r_2, we again reduce the problem to finding the residues at $r = 0$ and $r = \infty$ and arrive at the equation

$$\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^2}{c^2} - M^2c^2}\left[\left(\sqrt{r_1r_2} + \frac{r_1+r_2}{2}\right) + \frac{A}{\rho^2}r_1r_2\frac{r_1+r_2}{2}\right] = i\hbar(n + 1/2) . \tag{64}$$

From this, an approximation for the energy spectrum in AdS space follows:

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_0 - \frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} , \quad \epsilon_0 = Mc^2\left[1 + \frac{e^4/c^2}{[\hbar(n+1/2) + \sqrt{\hbar^2(l+1/2)^2 - e^4/c^2}]^2}\right]^{-1/2} ,$$
$$\Delta = \frac{(M^2c^4 - \epsilon_0^2)^2}{4e^2M^2c^4}\left[\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_1\sqrt{\frac{r_{02}}{r_{01}}} + \Delta_2\sqrt{\frac{r_{01}}{r_{02}}} + Ar_{01}r_{02}(r_{01} + r_{02})\right] , \tag{65}$$

where $\Delta_{1,2}$, $r_{01,02}$ and A are given by above formulas with ϵ replaced by ϵ_0.

9 Spin 1/2 particle in the Coulomb field in dS and AdS spaces

To discuss the case of a spin 1/2 particle, we start with the Dirac free wave equation (the notations of [43] are used)

$$\left[\, i\gamma^c\,\left(e^\alpha_{(c)}\partial_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{ab}\gamma_{abc}\right) - M\,\right]\,\Psi = 0 . \tag{66}$$

In static coordinates of the de Sitter space, using the spinor basis and dimensionless coordinate $r \in [0, 1)$, applying the substitution $\Psi(x) = r^{-1}\Phi^{-1/4}\,F(x)$, $\Phi = 1 - r^2$, this equation is reduced to the form [44]

$$\left[\, i\,\frac{\gamma^0}{\sqrt{\Phi}}\partial_t \; + i\sqrt{\Phi}\gamma^3 \;\partial_r + \frac{1}{r}\,\Sigma_{\theta,\phi} - M\,\right]\,F(x) = 0 , \tag{67}$$
$$\Sigma_{\theta,\phi} = \; i\,\gamma^1\partial_\theta + \gamma^2\frac{i\partial + i\sigma^{12}\cos\theta}{\sin\theta} . \tag{68}$$

Spherical waves are constructed as

$$\Psi_{\epsilon jm}(x) \;=\; \frac{e^{-i\epsilon t}}{r}\,\left|\begin{array}{l} f_1(r)\;D_{-1/2} \\ f_2(r)\;D_{+1/2} \\ f_3(r)\;D_{-1/2} \\ f_4(r)\;D_{+1/2} \end{array}\right| , \tag{69}$$

where the Wigner functions are denoted according to the rule $D^{j}_{-m,\sigma}(\phi,\theta,0) = D_{\sigma}$. With the use of the recurrence relations [48]

$$\partial_\theta\ D_{+1/2}\ =\ a\ D_{-1/2} - b\ D_{+3/2}\ ,\ \frac{-m-1/2\ \cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\ D_{+1/2}\ =\ -a\ D_{-1/2} - b\ D_{+3/2}\ , \tag{70}$$

$$\partial_\theta\ D_{-1/2}\ =\ b\ D_{-3/2} - a\ D_{+1/2}\ ,\ \frac{-m+1/2\ \cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\ D_{-1/2}\ =\ -b\ D_{-3/2} - a\ D_{+1/2}\ , \tag{71}$$

where $a = (j+1)/2\ ,\ b = (1/2)\ \sqrt{(j-1/2)(j+3/2)}$, we get

$$\Sigma_{\theta,\phi}\ \Psi_{\epsilon jm}(x)\ =\ i\ \nu\ \frac{e^{-i\epsilon t}}{r}\ \left|\begin{array}{c} -\ f_4(r)\ D_{-1/2} \\ +\ f_3(r)\ D_{+1/2} \\ +\ f_2(r)\ D_{-1/2} \\ -\ f_1(r)\ D_{+1/2} \end{array}\right|\ , \tag{72}$$

where $\nu = (j+1/2)$. This leads to the following radial system:

$$\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\Phi}} f_3 - i\sqrt{\Phi}\frac{d}{dr} f_3 - i\frac{\nu}{r} f_4 - M f_1 = 0\ ,\ \ \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\Phi}} f_4 + i\sqrt{\Phi}\frac{d}{dr} f_4 + i\frac{\nu}{r} f_3 - M f_2 = 0\ , \tag{73}$$

$$\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\Phi}} f_1 + i\sqrt{\Phi}\frac{d}{dr} f_1 + i\frac{\nu}{r} f_2 - M f_3 = 0\ ,\ \ \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\Phi}} f_2 - i\sqrt{\Phi}\frac{d}{dr} f_2 - i\frac{\nu}{r} f_1 - M f_4 = 0\ . \tag{74}$$

To simplify the system, we diagonalize the P-operator [44]. In the Cartesian basis, $\hat{\Pi}_C = i\gamma^0 \otimes \hat{P}$, the transition to spherical tetrad gives

$$\hat{\Pi}_{sph}\ \ =\left|\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right|\ \otimes\ \hat{P}\ . \tag{75}$$

From the equation $\hat{\Pi}_{sph}\ \Psi_{jm} =\ \Pi\ \Psi_{jm}$ it follows that $\Pi = \delta\ (-1)^{j+1},\ \delta = \pm 1$ and

$$f_4 =\ \delta\ f_1,\ f_3 =\ \delta\ f_2\ ,\ \Psi(x)_{\epsilon jm\delta}\ =\ \frac{e^{-i\epsilon t}}{r}\ \left|\begin{array}{c} f_1(r)\ D_{-1/2} \\ f_2(r)\ D_{+1/2} \\ \delta\ f_2(r)\ D_{-1/2} \\ \delta\ f_1(r)\ D_{+1/2} \end{array}\right|\ . \tag{76}$$

The system (73)-74) is then simplified as

$$\left(\sqrt{\Phi}\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\nu}{r}\right) f + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\Phi}} + \delta M\right) g = 0\ ,\quad \left(\sqrt{\Phi}\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\nu}{r}\right) g - \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\Phi}} - \delta M\right) f = 0\ , \tag{77}$$

where the new functions $f = (f_1 + f_2)/\sqrt{2}\ ,\ g = (f_1 - f_2)/i\sqrt{2}$ are used instead of f_1 and f_2. For definiteness, let us consider Eqs. (77) for $\delta = +1$ (formally, the second case $\delta = -1$ corresponds to the change $M \to -M$):

$$\left(\sqrt{\Phi}\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\nu}{r}\right) f + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\Phi}} + M\right) g = 0\ ,\ \left(\sqrt{\Phi}\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\nu}{r}\right) g - \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{\Phi}} - M\right) f = 0\ . \tag{78}$$

To take into account the Coulomb field, it suffices to make the formal change $\epsilon\ \to \epsilon + e^2/r$. In this way we get the system

$$\left(\sqrt{1-r^2}\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\nu}{r}\right) f + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}\left(\epsilon + \frac{e^2}{r}\right) + M\right) g = 0\ , \tag{79}$$

$$\left(\sqrt{1-r^2}\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{\nu}{r}\right) g - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}\left(\epsilon + \frac{e^2}{r}\right) - M\right) f = 0\ . \tag{80}$$

Using the variable ρ ($\sin\rho = r$), these equations become shorter:

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{\nu}{\sin\rho}\right) f + \left(\frac{1}{\cos\rho}\left(\epsilon + \frac{e^2}{\sin\rho}\right) + M\right) g = 0\,, \tag{81}$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{\nu}{\sin\rho}\right) g - \left(\frac{1}{\cos\rho}\left(\epsilon + \frac{e^2}{\sin\rho}\right) - M\right) f = 0\,. \tag{82}$$

Introducing two new functions:

$$f + g = e^{-i\rho/2}(F + G)\,, \qquad f - g = e^{+i\rho/2}(F - G)\,, \tag{83}$$

and using a new variable $y = \tan(\rho/2)$ with the notation

$$y_{1,2} = -\frac{(\epsilon + M - i\nu - i/2) \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon + M - i\nu - i/2)^2 - e^4}}{e^2}\,, \tag{84}$$

$$Y_{1,2} = -\frac{(\epsilon - M - i\nu + i/2) \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon - M - i\nu + i/2)^2 - e^4}}{e^2}\,, \tag{85}$$

we transform Eqs. (81) and (82) to the form

$$\left[(1+y^2)\,\frac{d}{dy} - \nu y + \frac{\nu}{y} - \frac{a}{1-y} + \frac{b}{1+y} + \frac{a-b}{2}\right] F + \frac{e^2}{y}(y - y_1)(y - y_2)\; G = 0\,, \tag{86}$$

$$\left[(1+y^2)\,\frac{d}{dy} + \nu\, y - \frac{\nu}{y} + \frac{a}{1-y} - \frac{b}{1+y} - \frac{a-b}{2}\right] G - \frac{e^2}{y}(y - Y_1)(y - Y_2)\; F = 0\,, \tag{87}$$

where $a = 2i(\epsilon + e^2)$, $b = 2i(\epsilon - e^2)$. Elimination of G (or F) from this system results in a second order differential equation for $F(y)$ (or $G(y)$), which has 8 singular points: $0, \pm 1, \pm i, y_{1,2}, \infty$ (or $0, \pm 1, \pm i, Y_{1,2}, \infty$).

A similar situation is faced for the Dirac equation in the Coulomb potential on the background of the anti de Sitter space-time. The analysis here is much similar to that for the above de Sitter model. Using the variable $r = \sinh\rho$, instead of Eqs. (81),(82) we have the equations

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\rho} + \frac{\nu}{\sinh\rho}\right) f + \left(\frac{1}{\cosh\rho}(\epsilon + \frac{e^2}{\sinh\rho}) + M\right) g = 0\,, \tag{88}$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{d\rho} - \frac{\nu}{\sinh\rho}\right) g - \left(\frac{1}{\cosh\rho}(\epsilon + \frac{e^2}{\sinh\rho}) - M\right) f = 0\,. \tag{89}$$

Introducing now new functions F and G:

$$f + g = e^{-\rho/2}(F + G)\,, \qquad f - g = e^{+\rho/2}(F - G)\,, \tag{90}$$

and a new variable $y = \tanh(\rho/2)$, this system is rewritten as

$$\left[(1-y^2)\,\frac{d}{dy} + \nu\, y + \frac{\nu}{y} - \frac{4(\epsilon y + e^2)}{1+y^2} + 2e^2\right] F + \frac{e^2}{y}(y - \bar{y}_1)(y - \bar{y}_2)\; G = 0\,, \tag{91}$$

$$\left[(1-y^2)\,\frac{d}{dy} - \nu\, y - \frac{\nu}{y} + \frac{4(\epsilon y + e^2)}{1+y^2} - 2e^2\right] G + \frac{e^2}{y}(y - \bar{Y}_1)(y - \bar{Y}_2)\; F = 0\,. \tag{92}$$

where

$$\bar{y}_{1,2} = \frac{(\epsilon + M - \nu - 1/2) \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon + M - \nu - 1/2)^2 + e^4}}{e^2}\,, \tag{93}$$

$$\bar{Y}_{1,2} = \frac{(\epsilon - M - \nu + 1/2) \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon - M - \nu + 1/2)^2 + e^4}}{e^2}\,. \tag{94}$$

Again, as in the case of the de Sitter space, the second order differential equations for $F(y)$ and $G(y)$ have eight singularities: $0, \pm 1, \pm i, \bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \infty$ and $0, \pm 1, \pm i, \bar{Y}_1, \bar{Y}_2, \infty$, respectively.

10 Summary

Thus, we have developed the theory of the hydrogen atom in the de Sitter and anti de Sitter spaces on the basis of the Klein–Gordon–Fock wave equation in static coordinates. In both models, after separation of the variables, the problem is reduced to the general Heun equation, which is a second order Fuchsian linear differential equation having four regular singular points. The appearance of the Heun functions in treating the simplest and basic quantum mechanical system, the hydrogen atom, on the de Sitter geometrical backgrounds seems to be a useful point because of the progress in the theory of these functions observed during the last years. Conversely, the observed frequent appearance of the Heun equations in relativistic quantum-mechanical problems on the curved space-time background can serve as an additional stimulus to elaborate the mathematical theory of these functions.

A qualitative examination shows that the energy spectrum for the hydrogen atom in the de Sitter space is quasi-stationary, and the hydrogen atom is unstable in the sense of the quantum mechanics. We have derived an approximate expression for the energy levels within the quasi-classical method, and have estimated the probability of decay of the hydrogen atom. In contrast to this, the analysis shows that in the anti de Sitter model the hydrogen atom is stable. Approximate formulas for energy levels within the quasi-classical approach are also derived for this case.

Finally, we have extended the developments to the case of a spin 1/2 particle for both de Sitter space models. This extension results in complicated second order differential equations having 8 regular singular points.

We may expect (because of the universal character of the influence of the gravitational forces) that the behavior of the hydrogen atom model is typical for all quantum mechanical systems in the de Sitter geometries: any system, being stationary in the Minkowski space, will become quasi-stationary on the background of the de Sitter geometry, whereas in the case of the anti de Sitter model it preserves the stability property in the quantum-mechanical sense.

Going beyond, we further conjecture that the influence of any fixed type of a space-time geometry is in a sense universal and is much the same for various quantum mechanical systems, irrespective of the spin of the involved particles (various examples supporting this supposition are presented in [44, 47, 49]).

Finally, it should be mentioned that the de Sitter cosmological models can be parameterized by non-static coordinates [2], in which the metrical coefficient $g_{00} = 1$. For such cases, the Schrödinger-type non-relativistic wave equations do exist, and one can develop a traditional quantum-mechanics influenced by a non-trivial geometry for the space-time in cosmological scales.

11 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Fund for Basic Researches of Belarus (Grant No. F14ARM-021) and by the Armenian State Committee of Science (Grant No. 13RB-052), within the cooperation framework between Belarus and Armenia. The research has been partially conducted within the scope of the International Associated Laboratory (CNRS-France & SCS-Armenia) IRMAS. The work by A. Ishkhanyan has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme, grant No. 295025 - IPERA).

References

[1] Dirac, P.A.M.: The electron wave equation in the de Sitter space. Ann. Math. **36**, 657–669 (1935)

[2] Hawking, S.W.; Ellis, G.F.R.; The large scale structure of space-time. Cambridge University Press, 1973

[3] Gibbons, G.W.: Anti-de-Sitter spacetime and its uses, in Mathematical and quantum aspects of relativity and cosmology (Pythagoreon, 1998), Lecture Notes in Phys., 537, Springer-Verlag, 102-142, 2000.

[4] Dirac, P.A.M.: Wave equations in conformal space. Ann. of Math. **37**, 429–442 (1936)

[5] Schrödinger, E.: The proper vibrations of the expanding universe. Physica. **6**, 899–912 (1939)

[6] Schrödinger, E.: General theory of relativity and wave mechanics. Wiss. en Natuurkund. **10**, 2–9 (1940)

[7] Goto, K.: Wave equations in de Sitter space. Progr. Theor. Phys. **6**, 1013–1014 (1951)

[8] Nachtmann, O.: Quantum theory in de-Sitter space. Commun. Math. Phys. **6**, 1–16 (1967)

[9] Chernikov, N.A.; Tagirov, E.A.: Quantum theory of scalar field in de Sitter space-time. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare. **IX**, 109–141 (1968)

[10] Börner, G.; Dürr, H.P.: Classical and quantum theory in de Sitter space. Nuovo Cim. A. **64**, 669–713 (1969)

[11] Fushchych, W.L.; Krivsky, I.Yu.: On representations of the inhomogeneous de Sitter group and equations in five-dimensional Minkowski space. Nucl. Phys. B. **14**, 573–585 (1969)

[12] Börner, G.; Dürr, H.P.: Classical and Quantum Fields in de Sitter space. Nuovo Cim. **LXIV**, 669 (1969)

[13] Castagnino, M.: Champs spinoriels en Relativité générale; le cas particulier de l'éspace-temps de De Sitter et les équations d'ond pour les spins éléves. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré. A. **16**, 293–341 (1972)

[14] Tagirov, E.A.: Consequences of field quantization in de Sitter type cosmological models. Ann. Phys. **76**, 561–579 (1973)

[15] Riordan, F.: Solutions of the Dirac equation in finite de Sitter space. Nuovo Cim. B. **20**, 309–325 (1974)

[16] Candelas, P.; Raine, D.J.: General-relativistic quantum field theory: an exactly soluble model. Phys. Rev. D. **12**, 965–974 (1975)

[17] Schomblond, Ch.; Spindel P.: Propagateurs des champs spinoriels et vectoriels dans l'univers de de Sitter. Bull. Cl. Sci., V. Ser., Acad. R. Belg. **LXII**, 124 (1976)

[18] Hawking, S.W.; Gibbons, G.W.: Cosmological event horizons, thermodynamics, and particle creation. Phys. Rev. D. **15**, 2738–2751 (1977)

[19] Avis, S.J.; Isham, C.J.; Storey, D.: Quantum Field Theory In Anti-de Sitter Space-Time. Phys. Rev. D. **18**, 3565 (1978)

[20] Avis,S.J.; Isham, C.J.; Storey, D.: Quantum field theory in anti-de Sitter space-time. Phys. Rev. D, **18** , 3565–3576 (1978)

[21] Lohiya, D.; Panchapakesan, N.: Massless scalar field in a de Sitter universe and its thermal flux. J. Phys. A. **11**, 1963–1968 (1978)

[22] Lohiya, D.; Panchapakesan, N.: Particle emission in the de Sitter universe for massless fields with spin. J. Phys. A. **12**, 533–539 (1979)

[23] Hawking, S., Page, D.: Thermodynamics Of Black Holes In Anti-de Sitter Space. Commun. Math. Phys. **87**, 577–588 (1983)

[24] Otchik, V.S.: On the Hawking radiation of spin 1/2 particles in the de Sitter space-time. Class. Quantum Crav. **2**, 539–543 (1985)

[25] Motolla, F.: Particle creation in de Sitter space. Phys. Rev. D. **31**, 754–766 (1985)

[26] Takashi Mishima; Akihiro Nakayama: Particle production in de Sitter spacetime. Progr. Theor. Phys. **77**, 218–222 (1987)

[27] Polarski, D.: The scalar wave equation on static de Sitter and anti-de Sitter spaces. Class. Quantum Grav. **6**, 893–900 (1989)

[28] Bros, J.; Gazeau, J.P.; Moschella, U.: Quantum Field Theory in the de Sitter Universe. Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1746 (1994)

[29] Suzuki, H.; Takasugi, E.: Absorption Probability of De Sitter Horizon for Massless Fields with Spin. Mod. Phys. Lett. A. **11**, 431–436 (1996)

[30] Pol'shin, S.A.: Group Theoretical Examination of the Relativistic Wave Equations on Curved Spaces. I. Basic Principles. http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9803091; II. De Sitter and Anti-de Sitter Spaces. http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9803092; III. Real reducible spaces. http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9809011

[31] Cotaescu, I.I.: Normalized energy eigenspinors of the Dirac field on anti-de Sitter spacetime. Phys. Rev. D(3) **60**, 124006, 4pp (1999)

[32] Garidi, T., Huguet, E., Renaud, J.: De Sitter Waves and the Zero Curvature Limit Comments. Phys. Rev. D. **67**, 124028 (2003)

[33] Moradi, S., Rouhani, S., Takook, M.V.: Discrete Symmetries for Spinor Field in de Sitter Space. Phys. Lett. B. **613**, 74–82 (2005)

[34] Bachelot, A.: The Dirac equation on the Anti-de-Sitter Universe. L'équation de Dirac sur l'univers Anti-de Sitter Comptes Rendus Mathematique. **345**, Issue 8, 435–440 (2007)

[35] Ovsiyuk, E.M.; Red'kov, V.M.: Spherical waves of spin 1 particle in anti de Sitter space-time. Acta Physica Polonica. B. **41**, no 6. 1247–1276 (2010)

[36] Red'kov, V.M.; Ovsiyuk, E.M.: On exact solutions for quantum particles with spin S= 0,1/2, 1 and de Sitter event horizon. Ricerche di matematica. **60**, no 1. 57–88 (2011)

[37] Red'kov, V.M.; Ovsiyuk, E.M.; Krylov, G.G.: Hawking Radiation in de Sitter Space: Calculation of the Reflection Coefficient for Quantum Particles. Vestrnik RUDN. ser. Matemika–Informatika-Fizika. no 4. 153–169 (2012).

[38] Ovsiyuk,E.M.; Kisel, V.V.; Red'kov, V.M.: Maxwell Electrodynamics and Boson Fields in Spaces of Constant Curvature. Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York, 2014. 486 pages.

[39] Ovsiyuk, E.M.l Veko, O.V.: Spin 1/2 particle in presence ob thre Abelian monopole on thebackground of anti de Sitter space-time. The case $j = j_{min}$. Doklady NAN of Belarus. **55**. no 6. 49–55 (2011).

[40] Ovsiyuk, E.M.l Veko, O.V.: Spin 1/2 particle in presence ob thre Abelian monopole on thebackground of anti de Sitter space-time. The case $j > j_{min}$. Doklady NAN of Belarus. **56**. no 1. 43–49 (2011).

[41] Red'kov, V.; Ovsiyuk, E.; Veko, O.: Spin 1/2 particle in the field of Dirac string on the background of de Sitter space–time. Uzhhorod University Scientific Herald. Series Physics. no 32. 141–150 (2012)

[42] V.S. Otchik, V.M. Red'kov. Quantum-mechanival Kepler problem in spaces of constant curvature. Preprint no 298, Institut of Physics. AN BSSR, 1986. 49 pages (in Russian).

[43] Red'kov, V.M.: Fields in Riemannian space and the Lorentz group. Publishing House "Belarusian Science", Minsk, 496 pages, 2009 (in Russian)

[44] Red'kov, V.M.: Tetrad formalism, spherical symmetry and Schrödinger basis. Publishing House "Belarusian Science", Minsk, 339 pages, 2011 (in Russian)

[45] A. Ronveaux. Heun's Differential Equations. Oxford: Oxford Univ.Press, 1995.

[46] S.Yu. Slavyanov, W. Lay. Special functions. A unified theory based on singularities. Oxford: Oxford Univ.Press, 2000.

[47] V.M. Red'kov, E.M. Ovsiyuk. Quantum mechanics in spaces of constant curvature. Nova Science Publishers. Inc., New York, 2012.

[48] Varshalovich, D.A., Moskalev, A.N., Hersonskiy, V.K.: Quantum theory of angular moment. Nauka, Leningrad, 1975 (in Russian)

[49] E.M. Ovsiyuk, V.V. Kisel, V.M. Red'kov. Maxwell Electrodynamics and Boson Fields in Spaces of Constant Curvature. Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York, 2014.